Venue: Paradise on the Nile Hotel – Jinja District

Dates: 30th May 2023 - 2nd June 2023
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations &amp; Acronyms</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO</td>
<td>Beneficial Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil Society Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITI</td>
<td>Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGSM</td>
<td>Directorate of Geological Surveys and Mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Independent Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMD</td>
<td>Ministry of Energy and Minerals Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOFPED</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWE</td>
<td>Ministry of Water and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>Multi-Stakeholder Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMA</td>
<td>National Environment Management Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPA</td>
<td>National Planning Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAG</td>
<td>Office of the Auditor General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGEITI</td>
<td>Uganda Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URSB</td>
<td>Uganda Registration Services Bureau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.0 Executive Summary

The Uganda Extractives Industries Transparency Initiative (UGEITI) organized a 3-day training retreat for the Multi-stakeholder Group (MSG) to mainly conduct a training on the pre-validation process. The retreat took place from 30th May to 1st June, 2023 at the Paradise on the Nile Hotel located in Jinja District.

The overall objective of the training retreat was to build the capacity of the Multi-Stakeholder Group (MSG) members to discuss the implementation’s progress or the work plan, prepare for the validation exercise, and conduct the initial process towards the development of the next work plan. Specifically, the workshop was intended to:

1. sensitize stakeholders on the validation model and prepare members for the upcoming validation exercise that will be conducted by the International Secretariat to assess Uganda’s compliance on EITI implementation.

2. build the capacity of MSG members in comprehending the validation reporting templates and the information required to fill them out.

3. sensitize members on stakeholder engagement best practices, review stakeholder engagement mechanisms and feedback so as to enhance transparency, inclusivity, and accountability.

4. review the tangible outcomes and impact of Uganda’s implementation of the EITI Standard in order to prepare the next work plan.

The deliverables included:

a) A 3-day capacity-building training for the Multi-Stakeholder Group and National Secretariat.

b) Develop proposals and recommendations for the 2023/2024 work plan.

c) Provide MSG members with incites for a successful validation process

d) Report of the training workshop

The participants (attached as Annex A) included representatives from all the three constituencies of the Multi-stakeholder Group (MSG), 3 observers from the Office of the Auditor General, 3 members from the Independent Administrator team for the UGEITI report 2020/2021 and members of the EITI National Secretariat in Uganda.
The expected outcomes of this 3-day training workshop included:

a) Improve the MSG's knowledge and understanding of the validation process
b) Develop the knowledge and skills of the participants to successfully complete the validation templates.
c) Provide the Independent Administrator with comments and feedback on the second EITI report 2020/2021.

The Programme for the training is attached as Annex B.

**Way forward from the 3-day Training Workshop**

Following three days’ training, the participants shared different takeaways from the training and agreed on some action points that needed to be undertaken. The action points were broadly guided by the plenary discussions held during each session.

The way forward from the training workshop are outlined below:

- **UGEITI Report 2020/2021**
  
a) The Secretariat was instructed to follow-up with reporting entities to submit their certified templates and audited financial accounts for the reporting period.
b) The Secretariat was instructed to visit Virat Alloys with the IA to help them understand EITI and provide guidance on how to fill-in their reporting template.
c) The MSG Report Committee to review the draft contextual report in the first week of June 2023.
d) Schedule an MSG meeting to review the second EITI report on Thursday, 15th June 2023. The MSG was expected to use 10 days after this meeting to consult their wider constituencies on the report.
e) 30th June 2023 for the final submission of the report to the EITI International Secretariat.

- **The Pre-Validation Process**
  
a) Validation Committee to review all the draft templates by Wednesday, 7th June 2023
b) The Secretariat was instructed to share the draft validation templates with the IA for review and guidance.
c) MSG constituency engagements to continue. Members to utilize all forums for engagements with wider constituencies.
d) Industry constituency to meet monthly until the validation process commences in October 2023.

- **Work plan 2023/2024**
  
a) There is a need for prioritization of the following critical activities i.e. dissemination of the UGEITI report, production of the next report, follow-up on recommendations from the previous report and preparation for validation.
b) The Secretariat was instructed to retain capacity building as a continuous activity on the work plan
c) Prepare a Theory of Change (ToC) for UGEITI activities.
d) Share a draft work plan with members before the next MSG meeting scheduled for 15th June 2023.
2.0 OPENING REMARKS

The opening remarks were provided by the UGEITI National Coordinator, Mr. Saul Ongaria. He welcomed members to the workshop and thanked them for making time to travel to Jinja and participate in the 3-day workshop. He stated that the main purpose of the engagement was to enhance the capacity of the MSG in the validation process which was scheduled to commence on 1st October 2023. The validation process would be conducted to assess the performance of Uganda against the 2019 EITI Standard.

He informed the participants that the International Secretariat would engage with all members of the MSG, including the wider stakeholders. So, MSG members needed to understand the validation process, learn how to fill in the stakeholder templates so as to effectively prepare for the validation process.

Members of the MSG were also expected to share their ideas and proposals for the 2023/2024 work plan at this retreat. The Secretariat had prepared a progress report on the work plan for the Financial Year 2022/2023 which would provide the MSG with the baseline for the next work plan.

The Independent Administrator team had joined the MSG at this retreat to share the first draft of the second EITI report 2020/2021. Specifically, they would share the reconciliation section of the report for the MSG’s input and comments. He expected numerous questions from both the MSG and the public because UGEITI had embarked on the reconciliation of mining companies for the reporting period.

3.0 Uganda EITI Report 2020/2021

The presentation on Uganda’s second EITI Report 2020/2021 was provided by Mr. Rached Maalej, a member of the Independent Administrator (IA) team. He emphasized the importance of an interactive discussion during his presentation to enable the MSG understand the methodology adopted to produce the report. The main contents of the report were:

a) UGEITI reporting process

The report was in its final stage of production. It was developed in the following six phrases:
b) Scope of the report
The MSG had approved a total of 12 reporting entities for Uganda second EITI report. With the reconciliation of the following four (4) oil and gas companies, that contributed 100% of the revenues from the sector:
- TotalEnergies E&P Uganda B.V.
- CNOOC Uganda Limited
- Oranto Petroleum Limited
- Armour Energy Limited

With the reconciliation of the following eight (8) mining companies, that contributed 98% of the revenues from the sector:
- Tororo Cement Ltd
- Hima Cement Ltd
- National Cement Company Uganda Ltd
- Kampala Cement Co. Ltd
- Goodwill Ceramic Co. Ltd
- Wagagai Mining U Ltd
- Mota Engil Engenharia E Construcao Africa
- Virat Alloys Ltd

The payments for the remaining mining entities would be aggregated and reported unilaterally by government.

c) Contribution to the economy
The contribution of the extractive sector was a GDP of 1.6% compared to 1.84 in the period 2019/2020. Employment was at 0.01%, export at 0.01% probably due to the ban on the exportation of raw minerals. The extractive sector contributed 0.6% to Government revenue for the reporting period. The IA stated that these figures could change after the reconciliation process. Some mining companies had not yet submitted their reporting templates.

He also explained that there was a drop in Government revenue due to the inclusion of the mining sector in the reporting process. Unlike the oil and gas companies, most of the mining companies in the reconciliation scope were not engaged in extractive operations. Most of their raw materials were imported into the country.

d) Production and export data
Notably, revenues from exports had reduced from UGX 2.598b in FY2019/2020 to UGX 2.034b for the reporting period 2020/2021. He also noted that there were licence holders in the mining sector who were not 100% in the mining sector, so there was need to adjust the revenues from the sector.
e) Reconciliation results

The reconciliation results would be finalized once the IA had received the reporting templates from all the mining companies. They reported that they had encountered some difficulty in obtaining reporting templates from some mining companies who had not understood the essence of EITI in Uganda.

f) Contract and license allocations

The IA had checked to see if there had been any deviations in the allocation of contracts and licenses. They stated that DGSM had an active online system for licensing but some physical applications had also been received during the reporting period.

g) Legal reforms in the extractive sector.

These had been included in the report including the following legal reforms; Beneficial Ownership, Companies (Amendments Act) 2022, Partnership (Amendments) Act 2022, and the Mining and Minerals Act 2022.

The IA had omitted recommendations to the report pending the submission of reporting templates and certified financial accounts from some reporting entities.

2.1 PLENARY DISCUSSIONS

Mr. Onesmus Mugyenyi (a representative from the civil society constituency) inquired if the IA had taken into account the contribution for both direct and indirect employments in his research about the sector’s contribution to the economy. The IA stated that most contractors employed people without formal contracts, so they had solely taken direct employment into account. They also did not contact suppliers and service providers because they based their findings on the information provided to them from the reporting entities.

Mr. Jonan Akandwanaho (a representative from the Government constituency) questioned whether the IA could separate the contributions from the oil and gas sector from those from the mining sector. The IA responded by saying that they had lumped the extractive industry’s contribution under government revenue. Further describing their comparison of the extractive statistics, Mr. Hervey explained that they had obtained more details on the National GDP from the Uganda Bureau of Statistics.

Participants noted that the information on the export of gold was higher than what was produced. They affirmed that proper information on what was imported would provide a proper balance for the mineral sector. There was a possibility that the informal mining sector that had not been included in the reporting scope could have contributed to the variance.

In addition, members were informed that Kamuntu Investments continued to export raw minerals despite the presidential ban because of their prior agreement with the Government.

Oranto Petroleum was the only company that had fully complied by submitting both certified templates and their annual financial accounts for the reporting period. The discrepancy report of Ugx 18 billion was because all the other 11 reporting entities had not fully complied with the submission of reporting templates. The IA feared that this could affect the timely production of the second report.

The National Coordinator inquired whether it was relevant to include Uganda Registration Services Bureau (URSB) in the report because they had submitted partial information regarding
Beneficial Ownership (BO). The IA stated that they needed to hear directly from URSB and that the report would highlight that meaningful progress had been made on Beneficial Ownership through the creation of a function register. He stated that it was important for URSB to share the steps undertaken to implement BO.

The National Coordinator informed members that the Secretariat had received the contextual section of the report which it would share with the MSG Report Committee for further review. Members advised the IA to visit the mining companies that had not yet submitted their templates to help them understand EITI and provide guidance on how to fill-in their reporting template.

3.0 Uganda’s EITI Pre-Validation Exercise

The National Coordinator stated that Validation was one of the key tools that the EITI Board used to verify whether implementing countries were delivering on their commitments. Through Validation, countries receive recognition for their efforts and progress. The validation exercise also examined the extent to which the provisions of the EITI requirements had been met. For some requirements, there was need for specific evidence that the EITI Board could use in its assessment.

The assessment focused on the EITI requirements which were structured in three templates:

- The transparency templates.
- Stakeholder (engagement) template.
- Outcomes and impact (of EITI implementation) template.

3.1 The Stakeholder Engagement Template

A presentation was provided by Mr. Dan Denis Agaba of the stakeholder template. He stated that the template checked whether a country actively engaged stakeholders throughout the EITI implementation and validation process. It promoted transparency, inclusivity, and accountability by encouraging dialogue, fostering trust among stakeholders, and enabling the exchange of information.

3.1.1 Group Discussions on the stakeholder templates

The participants were divided into three groups, one for each MSG constituency, and instructed to fill in the various sections of their stakeholder template. Each constituency was tasked to share evidence of everything that they had done which would be required during validation. Each group made a presentation sharing their accomplished activities to support EITI implementation to Uganda, including the gaps they had noted that required rectification.

The completed templates would be shared with the Independent Administrator for their review and guidance. The main sections of the stakeholder template required the following information:

- Documentation of MSG nominations and replacements
- Documentation of engagements and consultations
- Documentation of all stakeholder activities.
The Industry Constituency agreed to meet monthly to resolve the gaps they had noted during their group discussions until the validation process commenced in October 2023. They also noted that they needed to interact more closely and create awareness with the wider constituency.

The IA advised that the MSG needed to update their Terms of Reference to include clear procedures for nomination and replacement of members. Uganda needed to explain their processes rather than stating that they were not compliant - yet they had their own processes. Those processes needed to be clearly documented for the validation process.

### 3.2 Transparency Template:

A presentation on the transparency template was provided by Mr. Abbey Gitta, assisted by the IA, Mr. Rached Maleej. This was the biggest template that focuses on the collection of data related to a country’s extractive sector. It required the country to disclose information on extractive companies operating in the country, government revenues derived from the sector, and relevant contextual information such as legal and fiscal frameworks.

The template aimed to ensure that comprehensive and reliable data was available for public scrutiny. The IA explained that the transparency template was the most technical template provided in an excel format to provide EITI requirements about figures and data from requirement 1 to 6 of the 2019 Standard.

### 3.3 Outcome and Impacts template:

This presentation was made by Mr. Edgar Mutungi. It assessed a country’s progress in implementing the EITI Standard since joining as a member. It also examined compliance with the EITI requirements and evaluated the country’s efforts in addressing any gaps identified in the previous validation, if any.

Uganda had just joined EITI so this was going to be her first validation exercise scheduled to commence on 1st October 2023.

Participants were divided into three groups, one for each MSG constituency, and instructed to go through the outcome and impacts template and provide comments for the different sections. The group submissions are attached as Annex C.

The Secretariat was instructed to consolidate the different comments into one Outcome and Impacts template.

### 3.4 Recommendations of the MSG

Regarding the Pre-Validation Process, the MSG agreed as follows:

a) Validation Committee to review all the draft templates by Wednesday, 7th June 2023

b) The Secretariat was instructed to share the draft validation templates with the IA for review and guidance.

c) MSG constituency engagements to continue. Members to utilize all forums for engagements with wider constituencies.

d) Industry constituency to meet monthly until the validation process commences in October 2023.
4.0 Uganda EITI Work Plan 2023/2024

Mr. Edgar Mutungi shared the progress on the work plan 2022/2023 attached as Annex D. He explained that the MSG needed to make proposals for the work plan 2023/2024 based on the performance of the previous work plan.

4.1 Achievements from the work plan 2022/2023

Uganda EITI work plans are linked to the national priorities of the country through the National Development Plan III. In the previous work plan 2022/2023, UGEITI successfully achieved the following:

a) Developed a roadmap to Beneficial Ownership (BO) Disclosure and participated in the development of the new BO legislation.

b) Published the UGEITI report FY 2020/2021.

c) Disseminated EITI report 2019/2020 findings and sensitized key institutions on the EITI implementation process.

d) Monitored and tracked the progress on recommendations of the previous UGEITI report. Presenting the Recommendations to stakeholders for implementation.

e) Conducted MSG engagements to support EITI implementation.

f) Conducted capacity development of MSG and stakeholders in; climate change and energy transition, the validation process, the media in the EITI process etc.

4.2 Deviations from the work plan 2022/2023

Deviations were primarily due to the lack of adequate funding for EITI activities, while some activities required the change in policies and legislations which require time and broad consultations and engagements with external stakeholders. The deviations in the work plan 2022/2023 included:

a) Development of a government plan for contract and license disclosure and publications, documenting government's policy on disclosing extractive industry contracts and licenses.

b) Implementation the Communications Strategy for EITI awareness.

c) Undertake studies and develop strategies to inform the EITI implementation process.

4.3 Proposals for the Work Plan 2023/2024

4.3.1 The Secretariat requested the MSG to retain the objectives of the previous work plan and carry forward the deviations for implementation in the work plan for FY 2023/2024. The critical activities for EITI annually remained the following:

a) Production and publication of the EITI report

b) Dissemination of the report

c) Resolving recommendations from the previous report.

d) Preparation for the validation process.

4.3.2 The activities proposed (including the deviations) for the work plan FY 2023/2024 included, among others:
a) Development of a government plan for contract and license disclosure and publications, documenting government's policy on disclosing extractive industry contracts and licenses.
b) Implementation of the Communications Strategy for EITI awareness.
c) Undertake studies and develop strategies to inform the EITI implementation process.
d) Disseminate EITI report findings and sensitize key institutions on the EITI implementation process.
e) Monitor and track the progress on recommendations of the UGEITI report. Presenting the Recommendations to stakeholders for implementation.
f) Prepare and publish the UGEITI report FY 2020/2021.
g) MSG engagements to support EITI implementation.
h) Field visits to extractive regions.

### 4.4 Recommendations of the MSG on the work plan

a) There was a need for prioritization of the following critical activities i.e. dissemination of the UGEITI report, production of the next report, follow-up on recommendations from the previous report and preparation for validation.
b) The Secretariat needed to retain capacity building as a continuous activity on the work plan.
c) Prepare a Theory of Change (ToC) for UGEITI activities.
d) Share a draft work plan with members before the next MSG meeting scheduled for 15th June 2023.

### 5.0 GENERAL - Way Forward from the Training Retreat

The National Coordinator summarized the proposals and recommendations from the 3-day training retreat to include the following action points:

#### 5.1 UGEITI Report 2020/2021

a) The Secretariat was instructed to follow-up with reporting entities to submit their certified templates and audited financial accounts for the reporting period.
b) The Secretariat was instructed to visit Virat Alloys with the IA to help them understand EITI and provide guidance on how to fill-in their reporting template.
c) The MSG Report Committee to review the draft contextual report in the first week of June 2023.
d) Schedule an MSG meeting to review the second EITI report on Thursday, 15th June 2023. The MSG was expected to use 10 days after this meeting to consult their wider constituencies on the report.
e) 30th June 2023 for the final submission of the report to the EITI International Secretariat.

#### 5.2 The Pre-Validation Process

e) Validation Committee to review all the draft templates by Wednesday, 7th June 2023
f) The Secretariat was instructed to share the draft validation templates with the IA for review and guidance.
g) MSG constituency engagements to continue. Members to utilize all forums for engagements with wider constituencies.
h) Industry constituency to meet monthly until the validation process commences in October 2023.
5.3 Work plan 2023/2024

e) There is a need for prioritization of the following critical activities i.e dissemination of the UGEITI report, production of the next report, follow-up on recommendations from the previous report and preparation for validation.

f) The Secretariat was instructed to retain capacity building as a continuous activity on the work plan.

g) Prepare a Theory of Change (ToC) for UGEITI activities.

h) Share a draft work plan with members before the next MSG meeting scheduled for 15th June 2023.

6.0 CLOSING REMARKS

The closing remarks were provided by Mr. Paul Twebaze, a CSO representative and Executive Director at Pro-biodiversity conservationists in Uganda. He thanked participants for making time to attend the training workshop. And also thanked the Secretariat for organizing the event. He advised the participants to equip themselves and their wider constituencies with thorough knowledge on EITI and the extractive sector so as to be ready for the validation process commencing in October 2023.

He commended his colleagues for the intense discussions, emphasizing that positive criticism is meant to improve performance. He hoped that the proposals and the recommendations from the discussions would be implemented, citing that since most of them advocated for EITI in Uganda, they needed to continue supporting its implementation.
Annex A: List of Participants

1. Mr. Francis Elungat, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development (MEMD)
2. Mr. John Kennedy Okewling, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development (MEMD)
3. Mr. Anthony Okello, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development (MOFED)
4. Ms. Emily Nakamya, Uganda National Oil Company (UNOC)
5. Ms. Ingrid Muhanguzi, CNOOC Uganda Limited
6. Mr. Obad Noah, Oranto Petroleum Limited.
7. Mr. Mathius Ssenkaali, Oranto Petroleum Limited.
8. Ms. Jennifer Hinton, Jervois Limited
9. Mr. Kenneth Asiimwe, Uganda Chamber of Mines and Petroleum (UCMP)
10. Mr. Emmanuel Kibirige, Uganda Artisanal and Small-Scale Miners Association (UGAASM)
11. Mr. John Bosco Bukya, Uganda Artisanal and Small-Scale Miners Association (UGAASM)
12. Mr. Isaac Ntuuji, National Environment Management Authority (NEMA)
13. Ms. Sarah Aijuka, National Environment Management Authority (NEMA)
14. Mr. Onesmus Mugyenyi, Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment (ACODE)
15. Mr. Paul Twebaze, Pro-biodiversity conservationists in Uganda
16. Mr. Sam Mucunguzi, Citizens’ Concern Africa
17. Mrs. Gloria Akatuhurira, Uganda Revenue Authority (URA)
18. Mr. Joseph Kkeyune, Uganda Revenue Authority (URA)
19. Ms. Margaret Lomonyang, Karamoja Women’s Umbrella Organisation
20. Ms. Sophie Luwano, Ministry of Water and Environment
22. Mr. Jonan Akandanwaho, National Planning Authority (NPA)
23. Ms. Magdalene Babirye, Office of the Auditor General (OAG)
24. Ms. Emilyn Kemanzi, Office of the Auditor General (OAG)
25. Mr. Frank Byaruhanga, Office of the Auditor General (OAG)
26. Mr. Rached Maalej, Independent Administrator (DT Global)
27. Mr. Achraf Kanoun, Independent Administrator (DT Global)
28. Mr. Herve Minto’o, Independent Administrator (DT Global)
29. Mr. Saul Ongaria, UGEITI Secretariat
30. Mrs. Gloria Mugambe, UGEITI Secretariat
31. Ms. Gillian Lawino, UGEITI Secretariat
32. Ms. Bronia Arinda, UGEITI Secretariat
33. Mr. HamPerez Bwoye, UGEITI Secretariat
34. Mr. Edgar Mutungi, UGEITI Secretariat
35. Mr. Gordon Muhereza, UGEITI Secretariat
36. Mr. Edwin Kanakulya Kavuma, UGEITI Secretariat
37. Mr. Francis Okello, UGEITI Secretariat
38. Mr. Ignatius Ariho, UGEITI Secretariat
39. Ms. Betty Kisakye, UGEITI Secretariat
40. Ms. Stellah Anyait, UGEITI Secretariat
41. Ms. Suzan Angellah Acom, UGEITI Secretariat
42. Mr. Dan Denis Agaba, UGEITI Secretariat
43. Mr. Abbey Gitta, UGEITI Secretariat
44. Ms. Gertrude Angom, UGEITI Secretariat
### Annex B: Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1: 30th May 2023</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 hrs</td>
<td>Departure from Kampala</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 – 2:15 hrs</td>
<td>Arrival and Lunch</td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:15 – 02:30 hrs</td>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:30 – 02:45 hrs</td>
<td>Introductions and Opening Remarks</td>
<td>MSG Chairperson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:45 – 05:00 hrs</td>
<td>Status Update on the Second EITI Report</td>
<td>Independent Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 2: 31st May 2023</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 08:30 hrs</td>
<td>Arrival and Registration</td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30 – 10:30 hrs</td>
<td>Review of the Transparency Reporting Template</td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00 hrs</td>
<td>TEA BREAK</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 01:00 hrs</td>
<td>Review of the Stakeholder Engagement Reporting Template</td>
<td>Independent Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:00 – 02:00 hrs</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:00 – 04:00 hrs</td>
<td>Review of the Outcomes and Impact Reporting Template</td>
<td>Independent Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:00 – 05:00 hrs</td>
<td>Wrap up of Day 1</td>
<td>National Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 3: 1st June 2023</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 08:30 hrs</td>
<td>Arrival and Registration</td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Organizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30 – 10:30 hrs</td>
<td><strong>Review of Draft Annual Progress Report FY 2022/23</strong></td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00 hrs</td>
<td>TEA BREAK</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 01:00 hrs</td>
<td><strong>Presentation and Review of Draft Work Plan FY 2023/2024</strong></td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:00 – 02:00 hrs</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02:00 – 04:00 hrs</td>
<td><strong>Presentation and Review of Draft Work Plan FY 2023/2024</strong></td>
<td>UGEITI Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:00 – 05:00 hrs</td>
<td>Wrap up of Day 2</td>
<td>National Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4: 2nd June 2023</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 hrs</td>
<td>DEPARTURE</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex C: Workshop Pictorial

Members of the Industry Constituency during a group discussion to feed into the stakeholder template for validation
The IA, Rached Maleej sharing the draft EITI Report 2020/2021 with members of the MSG